

## Document Log Item

Addressing	
<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>
Daniel Stralka/R9/USEPA/US	"Sadoyama, Eric J" <eric.sadoyama@doh.hawaii.gov>
<b>CC</b>	<b>BCC</b>
Eugenia Chow/R9/USEPA/US@EPA	
<b>Description</b> <span>Form Used: Reply</span>	
<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date/Time</b>
Re: FW: Hickam Communities documents	06/04/2012 01:08 PM
<b># of Attachments</b>	<b>Total Bytes</b>
0	7,364
<b>NPM</b>	<b>Contributor</b>
<b>Processing</b>	
<b>Comments</b>	

Body

### Document Body

Thanks Eric,  
In the LUCID, it is not clear where there is PI between the EAL and the site specific level which required grass cover to break/reduce potential exposure. Soil sampling was done at 6" and 12" soil horizons but I don't see results of soil samples after excavation to confirm the need for soils management at depth in those areas that were covered with clean fill. There were samples of the clean fill to demonstrate that it was clean. I am confused by the tables that are listed "confirmation" since it appears that these are soil results pre excavation. Is this in the LUCID?

Dan

"Sadoyama, Eric J" ---06/04/2012 11:22:17 AM---Dan,

From: "Sadoyama, Eric J" <eric.sadoyama@doh.hawaii.gov>

To: Daniel Stralka/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Eugenia Chow/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 06/04/2012 11:22 AM  
Subject: FW: Hickam Communities documents

---

Dan,

Looks like this email didn't get through on Friday. Sorry about that.

--

Eric Sadoyama, Remedial Project Manager  
SDAR Section | HEER Office | Hawaii Department of Health  
eric.sadoyama@doh.hawaii.gov | tel (808) 586-0955 | fax (808) 586-7537

**From:** Sadoyama, Eric J

**Sent:** Friday, June 01, 2012 2:38 PM

**To:** Daniel Stralka

**Cc:** Eugenia Chow

**Subject:** RE: Hickam Communities documents

Dan,

The LUCID is meant to be the working reference document for long-term management. Appendix B of the LUCID should be your go-to reference for what's been left behind.

Surface soils (0"-12" bgs) that exceeded the final site-specific risk standard were excavated, removed from the residential areas, and placed into either the Onizuka burial pit, or into the Earhart PI soil berm, both of which have soil caps and require

long-term management. Imported fill was brought in to replace the soil that was removed.

If you're asking, "what about the imported fill, what were the pesticide concentrations in that material?", the confirmation sampling data can be found in Appendix F2 of the Removal Action Report. The imported fill was sampled prior to its transport to the Site and placement into the excavated areas.

Thanks,

--

Eric Sadoyama, Remedial Project Manager  
SDAR Section | HEER Office | Hawaii Department of Health  
[eric.sadoyama@doh.hawaii.gov](mailto:eric.sadoyama@doh.hawaii.gov) | tel (808) 586-0955 | fax (808) 586-7537

**From:** Daniel Stralka [<mailto:Stralka.Daniel@epamail.epa.gov>]

**Sent:** Friday, June 01, 2012 2:14 PM

**To:** Sadoyama, Eric J

**Cc:** Eugenia Chow

**Subject:** RE: Hickam Communities documents

Thanks Eric,

I have a question, I cannot find a clear depiction of what is left. Both the LUCID and the EHMP have maps of PI soils in place but I cannot find the data for the confirmation samples of what concentrations are left in place and where since the redevelopment and removals. Can you point me in the right direction?

Dan